

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/784,911	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HARRISON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Daniel M. Sullivan	<b>Art Unit</b> 1636	

**All Participants:**

(1) Daniel M. Sullivan.

(2) Xiaochun Zhu.

**Status of Application: Quayle**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview: 28 April 2005**

**Time: \_\_\_\_\_**

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner obtained authorization for the Examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)